



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/901,291	07/09/2001	Rene Pierre Gaston Collier	NL 000398	7141
24737	7590 07/13/2005		EXAM	INER
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			HOSSAIN, FARZANA E	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/901,291	COLLIER, RENE PIERRE GASTON			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Farzana E. Hossain	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ie, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	luly 2001.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	, <del> </del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)🖾	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applority documents have been rec	lication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) X Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>07-09-01, 02-01-02</u> .		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/901,291 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show 1. details in Figure 1 (102, 104, 106, 110, 112, 114, 116, 118), Figure 2 (100, 202, 204, 206), Figure 3 (100, 302, 304, 306) and Figure 4 (100, 402, 400, 406) as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,291 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

### Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Device and Method of Selecting and Presenting Program Guide Information Based on Genres.

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of Line 10 recites "Figure
- 6." The Office assumes that "Figure 6" was incorrectly recited in the abstract.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.

Art Unit: 2617

(2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin et al (US 5,594,509 and hereafter referred to as "Florin") in view of Kim (US 6,618,057).

Regarding Claims 1 and 8, Florin discloses a device comprising: a specification unit or central processing unit (CPU) (Figure 3, 63, Figures 22-32) for letting the user specify a preferred genre (Figures 22-28) from a plurality of program genres (Figures 22-28) by letting the user select a label (Figures 22-28, 305, 310, 315, 312, 313) from a plurality of labels (502-510), each label corresponding to a respective one of the

Application/Control Number: 09/901,291

Art Unit: 2617

program genres (Figures 22-28, 305, 310, 312, 313, 315). Florin discloses a device comprising a selection unit or CPU (Figure 3, 63, Figures 22-32) for making the selection of programs from a plurality of programs on the basis of the preferred genre (Figure 29) and a presentation unit or CPU (Figure 3, 63, Figures 22-32) for presenting the information about the selection of programs (Figures 22-32). Florin does not disclose a label assignment unit, which allows the user to assign a particular label to a particular program genre. Kim discloses that the device further comprises a label assignment unit or code processor (Figure 3, 110), which allows the user to assign a particular label or icon for a menu (Abstract, Column 3, lines 28-32, Figures 6, 7A, 7B, 7C), so as to make the particular label or icon correspond to the particular menu command or choosing a label for a particular program genre so as to make the particular label correspond to the program genre for the purpose of specifying the preferred genre. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Florin to include a label assignment unit that allows users to assign labels to program genres (Figures 6, 7A-7C) as taught by Kim in order to provide a graphic interface satisfying a user's preference (Column 1, lines 35-37) as disclosed by Kim.

Regarding Claim 2, Florin and Kim discloses all the limitations of Claim 1. Florin does not disclose the label assignment unit. Kim discloses the label assignment unit (Figure 3, 110) is arranged to let the user select the particular label from a group of labels (Figure 7B).

Regarding Claim 3, Florin and Kim discloses all the limitations of Claim 2. Florin does not disclose a storage unit storing the group of labels. Kim discloses a storage unit or second memory (Figure 3, 108) for storing the group of labels or icons.

Regarding Claim 4, Florin and Kim discloses all the limitations of Claim 1. Florin discloses that labels are pictorial representation of the program genre (Figures 22-32, including 305, 310, 312, 313, 315). However, Florin does not disclose that the user assigns the particular label. Kim discloses a label assignment unit or code processor (Figure 3, 110), which allows the user to assign a particular label or icon for a menu (Abstract, Column 3, lines 28-32, Figures 6, 7A, 7B, 7C), so as to make the particular label or icon, which is a pictorial representation of the particular program genre (Figure 7B), correspond to the particular menu command or choosing a label for a particular program genre so as to make the particular label correspond to the program genre for the purpose of specifying the preferred genre.

Regarding Claim 5, Florin discloses a television receiver (Figures 1, 2, 54) comprising a receiving unit or A/V connect module (Figure 2, 66) for receiving a video signal. Florin discloses a display device (Figure 2, 58, Column 8, lines 39-42) displaying the video signal and the information. The claimed feature of the device for presenting information about a selection of programs as claimed in claim 1 directly corresponds with the subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of Claim 1 and is likewise analyzed.

Regarding Claim 6, Florin discloses a set-top box (Figures 1, 2, 54) comprising a receiving unit or A/V connect module (Figure 2, 66) for receiving a video signal. The

Application/Control Number: 09/901,291

Art Unit: 2617

claimed feature of the device for presenting information about a selection of programs as claimed in claim 1 directly corresponds with the subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of Claim 1 and is likewise analyzed.

Regarding Claim 7, Florin discloses a program storage apparatus ((Figures 1, 2, 54, 62, 56). The claimed feature of the device as claimed in claim 1 directly corresponds with the subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of Claim 1 and is likewise analyzed.

7. Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin et al (US 5,594,509 and hereafter referred to as "Florin") in view of Knowles et al (US 2003/0079227 and hereafter referred to as "Knowles").

Regarding Claims 1 and 8, Florin discloses a device comprising: a specification unit or central processing unit (CPU) (Figure 3, 63, Figures 22-32) for letting the user specify a preferred genre (Figures 22-28) from a plurality of program genres (Figures 22-28) by letting the user select a label (Figures 22-28, 305, 310, 315, 312, 313) from a plurality of labels (502-510), each label corresponding to a respective one of the program genres (Figures 22-28, 305, 310, 312, 313, 315). Florin discloses a device comprising a selection unit or CPU (Figure 3, 63, Figures 22-32) for making the selection of programs from a plurality of programs on the basis of the preferred genre (Figure 29) and a presentation unit or CPU (Figure 3, 63, Figures 22-32) for presenting the information about the selection of programs (Figures 22-32). Florin does not disclose a label assignment unit, which allows the user to assign a particular label to a

Application/Control Number: 09/901,291

Art Unit: 2617

particular program genre. Knowles discloses that the device further comprises a label assignment unit or direct memory access (DMA) device (Figure 1B, 7), which allows the user to assign a particular label or icon for a menu (Page 9, paragraph 0153), so as to make the particular label or icon correspond to the particular menu command or choosing a label for a particular program genre so as to make the particular label corresponded to the program genre for the purpose of specifying the preferred genre. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Florin to allow users to assign labels to program genres (Page 9, paragraph 0153) as taught by Knowles in order to provide a customized IPG for specific users (Page 4, paragraph 0074) as disclosed by Knowles.

Regarding Claim 2, Florin and Knowles discloses all the limitations of Claim 1. Florin does not disclose the label assignment unit. Knowles discloses the user arranged to let the user select the particular label from a group of labels or palette of symbols (Page 9, paragraph 0153).

Regarding Claim 3, Florin and Kim discloses all the limitations of Claim 2. Florin does not disclose a storage unit storing the group of labels. Knowles discloses a storage unit or memory (Page 4, paragraph 0079) for storing the group of labels or data specific to an interactive program guide (IPG).

Regarding Claim 4, Florin and Knowles discloses all the limitations of Claim 1.

Florin discloses that labels are pictorial representation of the program genre (Figures 22-32, including 305, 310, 312, 313, 315). However, Florin does not disclose that the user assigns the particular label. Knowles discloses a label assignment unit (Figure 1B,

Art Unit: 2617

7), which allows the user to assign a particular label or icon for a menu (Page 9, paragraph 0153), so as to make the particular label or icon, which is a pictorial representation of the particular program genre (Page 9, paragraph 0153), correspond to the particular menu command or choosing a label for a particular program genre so as to make the particular label corresponded to the program genre for the purpose of specifying the preferred genre.

Regarding Claim 5, Florin discloses a television receiver (Figures 1, 2, 54) comprising a receiving unit or A/V connect module (Figure 2, 66) for receiving a video signal. Florin discloses a display device (Figure 2, 58, Column 8, lines 39-42) displaying the video signal and the information. The claimed feature of the device for presenting information about a selection of programs as claimed in claim 1 directly corresponds with the subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of Claim 1 and is likewise analyzed.

Regarding Claim 6, Florin discloses a set-top box (Figures 1, 2, 54) comprising a receiving unit or A/V connect module (Figure 2, 66) for receiving a video signal. The claimed feature of the device for presenting information about a selection of programs as claimed in claim 1 directly corresponds with the subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of Claim 1 and is likewise analyzed.

Regarding Claim 7, Florin discloses a program storage apparatus ((Figures 1, 2, 54, 62, 56). The claimed feature of the device as claimed in claim 1 directly corresponds with the subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of Claim 1 and is likewise analyzed.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,291 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farzana E. Hossain whose telephone number is 571-272-5943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FEH June 28, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600